Case 2:24-cv-02355-GMN-EJY Document 30 Filed 07/02/25 Page 1 of 5 MARTIN L. WELSH, ESQ. 1 Nevada State Bar No. 8720 MEGAN M. MCHENRY, ESQ. 2 Nevada State Bar No. 9119 LARSON A. WELSH, ESQ. 3 Nevada State Bar No. 12517 LAW OFFICE OF HAYES & WELSH 4 199 North Arroyo Grande Blvd., Suite 200 Henderson, Nevada 89074 5 Phone: 702-434-3444 Fax #: 702-434-3739 mwelsh@lvlaw.com; k.bratton@hayesandwelsh.onmicrosoft.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 9 CF STAFFING SOLUTIONS, LLC, a Nevada CASE NO.: 2:24-cv-02355-GMN-EJY 10 limited liability company; MAX CASAL, an individual, (District Court of Clark County Nevada 11 Case No. A-24-905033-C) Plaintiffs, 12 v. 13 DISTRICT HEALTHCARE SERVICES, LLC, a foreign corporation; BREAKING SILOS IN STIPULATION AND PROPOSED MEDICINE, LLC, a foreign corporation; ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY 15 HABIB SHAMTE, M.D., an individual; DOES **DEADLINES** I - X, and ROE CORPORATIONS I - X, (First Request) 16 inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 Plaintiffs CF STAFFING SOLUTIONS, LLC, and MAX CASAL (hereinafter "CF 19 Staffing" or "Casal" or, collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by and through their counsel of record, 20 together with Defendants DISTRICT HEALTHCARE SERVICES, LLC, BREAKING SILOS 21 IN MEDICINE, LLC, and HABIB SHAMTE, M.D. (collectively "Defendants" and together 22 with Plaintiffs as the "Parties"), by and though their counsel of record, hereby submit this 23 stipulation (the "Stipulation") to extend discovery deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order 24 [ECF No. 16] (the "Scheduling Order"). Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, the current 25 discovery dates are as follows: 26 /// 27

199 NORTH ARROYO GRANDE BLVB., SUITE 200 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89074 (702) 434-3444 FAX (702) 434-3739

28

HAYES & WELSH A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Initial Expert Disclosures: July 24, 2025
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures: August 23, 2025

Close of Discovery: September 22, 2025

Dispositive Motion Deadline: October 22, 2025

Pretrial Order: November 21, 2025

The deadline for expert disclosures and the other deadlines is more than twenty-one (21) days from the date of the filing of this Stipulation. This Stipulation is submitted in compliance with LR IA 6-1 and LR 26-3. This is the first request to extend discovery deadlines.

a. <u>Statement Specifying the Discovery Completed.</u>

The Parties have each made initial disclosures.

Plaintiffs have drafted some written discovery but have not propounded it yet due to (1) continuing and ongoing attempts to resolve this matter via a negotiated resolution; (2) the fact that Defendants only recently filed an Answer to the First Amended Complaint and; (3) Defendant's June 24, 2025 filing of a Motion for Leave to Amend Defendants' Answer where Defendants propose to add counterclaims against Plaintiff CF Staffing Solutions, LLC and to bring in a brand new defendant/counter-defendant.

b. Specific Description of the Discovery that Remains to be Completed.

Plaintiffs intend to propound written discovery to all Defendants on their claims and Defendants' defenses. Further, to the extent that Defendants' counterclaims are allowed to be filed and are not otherwise dismissed pursuant to any relevant motion, then Plaintiffs intend to engage in written discovery regarding all counterclaims.

Further, Plaintiffs intend to take the depositions of Defendants' 30(b)(6) designees as well as Defendant Dr. Shamte and any non-party fact or expert witnesses that may have testimony relevant to Plaintiffs' claims, Defendants' defenses, and any of the would-be counterclaims. Plaintiffs (potential counter-defendants) intend to serve subpoenas on third parties and may take depositions of relevant third parties.

27 | ///

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Defendants also intend to propound written discovery on Plaintiffs in connection with their claims and Defendants' defenses. Defendants will also notice depositions of Plaintiff's corporate designee(s) and others in Plaintiff's employ who may have knowledge of the facts and circumstances underlying Plaintiff's claims. Plaintiffs also may issue third-party subpoenas for documents and/or testimony based on the content and quality of Plaintiff's responses to Defendants' discovery requests.

Moreover, if Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend is granted, and its Amended Answer and Counterclaims become the operative pleading, Defendants/Counterclaimants intend to propound written discovery on those counterclaims and the new party to this action who will be added as a counter-defendant. This additional discovery will include items related to the new party's alter-ego status as related to Plaintiff. The discovery of the alter-ego issue will also likely include issuance of third-party subpoenas for documents and/or testimony.

Finally, expert witness discovery will be necessary to the extent the parties elect to disclose experts in this action.

The Reasons Why the Remaining Discovery was Not Completed. c.

As noted above, despite the fact that this matter has been pending for several months, this Court only ruled on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint [ECF No. 12] on May 2, 2025 [ECF No. 24] and Defendants only answered the First Amended Complaint a month ago (May 23, 2025) [ECF No. 27]. Following that Answer (and even prior) the Parties, through counsel, have attempted to resolve this matter via negotiations (prior to expending significant sums that may make resolution more difficult). Those negotiations, so far, have not yielded a resolution and Plaintiffs had begun drafting written discovery in early June while continuing to negotiate.

///

25

26

27

28

LAW OFFICE OF
HAYES & WELSH
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

However, on June 24, 2025, Defendants filed a Motion to Amend Answer to First Amended Complaint [ECF No. 28] that seeks to bring various counterclaims against Plaintiffs and to implead a new party as a defendant/counter-defendant. Plaintiff's current intent is to bring a motion to dismiss those proposed counterclaims if Defendant is allowed to amend as requested. That will clearly involve briefing and an order from this Court disposing such a motion one way or the other. Further, those new proposed counterclaims will, especially insofar as they bring an alleged "alter ego" claim against a proposed new party, may require substantially different (not-overlapping) discovery than contemplated by the Parties prior to the proposed filing of the Amended Answer.

The recently filed Answer, the Motion to Amend Answer and bring counterclaims, and the Parties' continued efforts to negotiate a resolution of this matter have led to discovery not having been completed. The Parties believe that, given the current status of this matter, the likelihood of additional litigation and briefing related to the proposed Amended Answer and the potential for additional discovery that those counterclaims (along with a continued desire to further explore resolution via private negotiation (and perhaps via private mediation), an extension of the discovery deadlines is necessary to allow a full airing of the issues.

d. Proposed Schedule for Completing All Remaining Discovery.

	Current Deadline	Proposed New Deadline
Initial Expert Disclosures:	July 24, 2025	October 22, 2025
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures:	August 23, 2025	November 21, 2025
Close of Discovery:	September 22, 2025	December 22, 2025
Dispositive Motion Deadline:	October 22, 2025	January 22, 2026
Pretrial Order:	November 21, 2025	February 23, 2026

In the event dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after the decision on the dispositive motions or until further order of the court. In the further event that the discovery period is extended from the discovery cutoff date set forth in this discovery plan and scheduling order, the date for filing the joint

q	ase 2:24-cv-02355-GMN-EJY Document 30 Filed 07/02/25 Page 5 of 5		
1	pretrial order shall be extended in accordance with the periods set forth in this paragraph. Good		
2	cause exists for the requested extension.		
3	Dated July 2, 2025		
4	LAW OFFICE OF HAYES & WELSH DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC		
5	/s/ Martin L. Welsh		
6	MARTIN L. WELSH, ESQ. JOHN P. DESMOND, ESQ.		
_	Nevada State Bar No. 8720 Nevada State Bar No. 5618		
7	MEGAN M. MCHENRY, ESQ. BROOKS T. WESTERGARD, ESQ.		
8	Nevada State Bar No. 9119 Nevada State Bar No. 14300 LARSON A. WELSH, ESQ. MACKENZIE E. ROBINSON, ESQ.		
9	Nevada State Bar No. 12517 Nevada State Bar No. 16309		
10	199 North Arroyo Grande Blvd., Suite 200 940 W. Liberty Street, Suite 940 Henderson, Nevada 89074 Reno, Nevada 89510		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CF Staffing Attorneys for Defendants, District		
11	Solutions, LLC and Max Casal Healthcare Services, LLC; Breaking Silos		
12	In Medicine, LLC and Habib Shamte, M.D.		
13			
14	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Stipulation to		
15	Extend Discovery (ECF No. 29) is GRANTED; provided, however, no additional extensions of discovery will be granted absent a demonstration		
16			
17	Completed.		
18	(0-10) 12 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
19	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
	D 4 11 2 2025		
20	Date: July 2, 2025		
21			
22			
23	Case No.: 2:24-cv-02355-GMN-EJY Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines (1st Request)		
24	and manage to Time the Time to the transfer of		
25			

LAW OFFICE OF
HAYES & WELSH
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
199 NORTH ARROYO GRANDE BLVB., SUITE 200
HENDERSON, NEVADA 89074
(702) 434-344 FAX (702) 434-3739

26

27

28